

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,572	03/29/2004	James F. Detry	H0002921DIV1 (1016.115210	2070
128 759	0 03/22/2006		EXAM	INER
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
101 COLUMBIA	A ROAD			
P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOWN	I, NJ 07962-2245		2813	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary		10/811,572	JAMES F. DETRY	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	James M. Mitchell	2813	
Period fo		rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-19,30,32-35 and 45 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8 and 10-13 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,9,14,16-19,30,32,33 and 45 is/are Claim(s) 15,34 and 35 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing of the control of the drawing of the control of the cont	withdrawn from consideration. e rejected. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[/ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/2004.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 10/811,572

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed October 18,
 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9 and 16, 30-33 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rohm et al. (U.S. 6,396,154).
- 4. Rohm (Fig. 1, 2) discloses:
- (cl. 1, 30, 32, 45) a method of attaching two portions of wafers, the method comprising: providing a first (1) and second wafer (23) having a first (i.e. top portion) and second (i.e. bottom portion) side, creating at least one pit (e.g. define by ring 23) into the first side of the second wafer to define at least two pillars (P21, P22), wherein the pit has a perimeter with perimeter walls (23), and at least two pillars extend up form a bottom of the pit and are spaced inward from the perimeter walls of the pit; adapting the pillar to conduct an electric signal (B22); providing a contact pad (P12) on a first side of the first

Art Unit: 2813

wafer; aligning the first and the second wafer such that the pillar corresponds to the contact pad (Fig. 1-2); attaching the first wafer to the second wafer;

- (cl. 2, 3) providing an inductor (222) that is an electronic component on the second wafer that is electrically connected to the contact pad on the first wafer via one of the pillar (Fig. 2);
- (cl.4) wherein the inductor is provided on the first side of the second wafer (Fig. 1);
- (cl. 9) and a chip includes a transistor (i.e. "signal processing")
- (cont. cl. 30) and an electronic device having first and second leads (14);
- (cl. 16, 33) applying metallization (221,222) to the first side area of defining spiral metallization and therefore inductive elements, and providing electrical connection (i.e. 13,B22) to leads and first regions;
- (cont. cl. 32) with pad portion on portions of wafer; and second region of being substantially isolated (e.g. pillars separated)
- (cl. 18, 19) with the first and second wafer forming a sealed wafer (Col. 4, Lines 47-49) by adhesive ("resin"; Col. 2, Lines 47-49);
- (cl. 35) applying a dielectric layer (17) over the metallization.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rohm et al. (U.S. 6,396,154) in combination with Winer et a. (U.S. 6,525,922).
- 7. Rohm discloses the same invention except that seal alternatively is disclosed as ultrasonic connection, Winer shows that ultrasonic and reflow (Col. 3, Lines 6-8) form equivalent structures known in the art known in the art. Therefore, because these two seals structures are art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute reflow for ultrasonic to attach wafers,
- 8. Claims 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (U.S. 6,396,154).
- 9. Rohm does not appear to show its pillar slanted, however applicant has not disclosed that that his limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. As such the slanted walls would have been obvious since it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,572 Page 5

Art Unit: 2813

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 15, 34 and 35 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose or make obvious adapting pillars to conduct an electric signal by doping the pillar, or forming insulation over the metallization corresponding to an inductor including all the limitations of the independent claim.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,572 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses in: Johnson (U.S. 2002/0057173) discloses the use of forming metallization on a pillar to form an inductor; Salatino (U.S. 5,915,168) the use of removing material form wafer to form pillars.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jmm

SUPERVISORY PATÈNT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800